

# Interpretation of “Conservation Purpose”

INTERNAL REVENUE GUIDANCE AS TO WHAT CONSTITUES A “CONSERVATION PURPOSE”

---

§170(h)(4)(A) of Title 26, Internal Revenue Code, Subtitle A of the United States Code gives a definition for the term, “conservation purpose,” which gives general guidance to a potential donor as to whether their potential easement area merits a, “conservation purpose.”. However, one should also consult Title 26, Internal Revenue Code, §1.170A-14(d) of the Code of Federal Regulations which gives more specific guidance as well as §1.170A-14(f) which gives specific examples. These sections read as follows:

## §1.170A-14(E) – CONSERVATION PURPOSES.

- (1) In general. For purposes of section 170(h) and this section, the term conservation purposes means--
- (i) The preservation of land areas for outdoor recreation by, or the education of, the general public, within the meaning of paragraph (d)(2) of this section,
  - (ii) The protection of a relatively natural habitat of fish, wildlife, or plants, or similar ecosystem, within the meaning of paragraph (d)(3) of this section,
  - (iii) The preservation of certain open space (including farmland and forest land) within the meaning of paragraph (d)(4) of this section, or
  - (iv) The preservation of a historically important land area or a certified historic structure, within the meaning of paragraph (d)(5) of this section.
- (2) Recreation or education—
- (i) In general. The donation of a qualified real property interest to preserve land areas for the outdoor recreation of the general public or for the education of the general public will meet the conservation purposes test of this section. Thus, conservation purposes would include, for example, the preservation of a water area for the use of the public for boating or fishing, or a nature or hiking trail for the use of the public.
  - (ii) Access. The preservation of land areas for recreation or education will not meet the test of this section unless the recreation or education is for the substantial and regular use of the general public.
- (3) Protection of environmental system—
- (i) In general. The donation of a qualified real property interest to protect a significant relatively natural habitat in which a fish, wildlife, or plant community, or similar ecosystem normally lives will meet the conservation purposes test of this section. The fact that the habitat or environment has been altered to some extent by human activity will not result in a deduction being denied under this section if the fish, wildlife, or plants continue to exist there in a relatively natural state. For example, the preservation of a lake formed by a man-made dam or a salt pond formed by a man-made dike would meet the conservation purposes test if the lake or pond were a nature feeding area for a wildlife community that included rare, endangered, or threatened native species.
  - (ii) Significant habitat or ecosystem. Significant habitats and ecosystems include, but are not limited to, habitats for rare, endangered, or threatened species of animal, fish, or plants; natural areas that represent high quality examples of a terrestrial community or aquatic community, such as islands that are undeveloped or not intensely developed where the coastal ecosystem is relatively intact; and natural areas which are included in, or which contribute to, the ecological viability of a local, state, or national park, nature preserve, wildlife refuge, wilderness area, or other similar conservation area.



INFORMATION PROVIDED COURTESY OF:

**ARTHUR H. HOWLAND  
& ASSOCIATES, P.C.**

CIVIL ENGINEERS  
LAND SURVEYORS  
SOIL SCIENTISTS  
LAND PLANNERS

30 BRIDGE STREET, SUITE #3, NEW MILFORD, CT 06776 • PHONE (860) 354-9346 • FAX (860) 350-4419 • [www.ahhowland.com](http://www.ahhowland.com)  
Printed on Recycled Paper!

PAGE 1 OF 7 PAGES  
© 2008–2009  
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED  
H:\Marketing\Conservation Easement Process\details\_of\_conservation\_purpose.doc

(iii) Access. Limitations on public access to property that is the subject of a donation under this paragraph (d)(3) shall not render the donation nondeductible. For example, a restriction on all public access to the habitat of a threatened native animal species protected by a donation under this paragraph (d)(3) would not cause the donation to be nondeductible.

(4) Preservation of open space—

(i) In general. The donation of a qualified real property interest to preserve open space (including farmland and forest land) will meet the conservation purposes test of this section if such preservation is--

(A) Pursuant to a clearly delineated Federal, state, or local governmental conservation policy and will yield a significant public benefit, or

(B) For the scenic enjoyment of the general public and will yield a significant public benefit.

An open space easement donated on or after December 18, 1980, must meet the requirements of section 170(h) in order to be deductible.

(ii) Scenic enjoyment—

(A) Factors. A contribution made for the preservation of open space may be for the scenic enjoyment of the general public. Preservation of land may be for the scenic enjoyment of the general public if development of the property would impair the scenic character of the local rural or urban landscape or would interfere with a scenic panorama that can be enjoyed from a park, nature preserve, road, waterbody, trail, or historic structure or land area, and such area or transportation way is open to, or utilized by, the public. ``Scenic enjoyment'' will be evaluated by considering all pertinent facts and circumstances germane to the contribution. Regional variations in topography, geology, biology, and cultural and economic conditions require flexibility in the application of this test, but do not lessen the burden on the taxpayer to demonstrate the scenic characteristics of a donation under this paragraph. The application of a particular objective factor to help define a view as scenic in one setting may in fact be entirely inappropriate in another setting. Among the factors to be considered are:

- (1) The compatibility of the land use with other land in the vicinity;
- (2) The degree of contrast and variety provided by the visual scene;
- (3) The openness of the land (which would be a more significant factor in an urban or densely populated setting or in a heavily wooded area);
- (4) Relief from urban closeness;
- (5) The harmonious variety of shapes and textures;
- (6) The degree to which the land use maintains the scale and character of the urban landscape to preserve open space, visual enjoyment, and sunlight for the surrounding area;
- (7) The consistency of the proposed scenic view with a methodical state scenic identification program, such as a state landscape inventory; and
- (8) The consistency of the proposed scenic view with a regional or local landscape inventory made pursuant to a sufficiently rigorous review process, especially if the donation is endorsed by an appropriate state or local governmental agency.

(B) Access. To satisfy the requirement of scenic enjoyment by the general public, visual (rather than physical) access to or across the property by the general public is sufficient.

Under the terms of an open space easement on scenic property, the entire property need not be visible to the public for a donation to qualify under this section, although the public benefit from the donation may be insufficient to qualify for a deduction if only a small portion of the property is visible to the public.

---

INFORMATION PROVIDED COURTESY OF:



ARTHUR H. HOWLAND  
& ASSOCIATES, P.C.

CIVIL ENGINEERS  
LAND SURVEYORS  
SOIL SCIENTISTS  
LAND PLANNERS

30 BRIDGE STREET, SUITE #3, NEW MILFORD, CT 06776 • PHONE (860) 354-9346 • FAX (860) 350-4419 • [www.ahhowland.com](http://www.ahhowland.com)  
Printed on Recycled Paper!

H:\Marketing\Conservation Easement Process\details\_of\_conservation\_purpose.doc

PAGE 2 OF 7 PAGES

© 2008-2009

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

(iii) Governmental conservation policy—

(A) In general. The requirement that the preservation of open space be pursuant to a clearly delineated Federal, state, or local governmental policy is intended to protect the types of property identified by representatives of the general public as worthy of preservation or conservation. A general declaration of conservation goals by a single official or legislative body is not sufficient. However, a governmental conservation policy need not be a certification program that identifies particular lots or small parcels of individually owned property. This requirement will be met by donations that further a specific, identified conservation project, such as the preservation of land within a state or local landmark district that is locally recognized as being significant to that district; the preservation of a wild or scenic river, the preservation of farmland pursuant to a state program for flood prevention and control; or the protection of the scenic, ecological, or historic character of land that is contiguous to, or an integral part of, the surroundings of existing recreation or conservation sites. For example, the donation of a perpetual conservation restriction to a qualified organization pursuant to a formal resolution or certification by a local governmental agency established under state law specifically identifying the subject property as worthy of protection for conservation purposes will meet the requirement of this paragraph. A program need not be funded to satisfy this requirement, but the program must involve a significant commitment by the government with respect to the conservation project. For example, a governmental program according preferential tax assessment or preferential zoning for certain property deemed worthy of protection for conservation purposes would constitute a significant commitment by the government.

(B) Effect of acceptance by governmental agency. Acceptance of an easement by an agency of the Federal Government or by an agency of a state or local government (or by a commission, authority, or similar body duly constituted by the state or local government and acting on behalf of the state or local government) tends to establish the requisite clearly delineated governmental policy, although such acceptance, without more, is not sufficient. The more rigorous the review process by the governmental agency, the more the acceptance of the easement tends to establish the requisite clearly delineated governmental policy. For example, in a state where the legislature has established an Environmental Trust to accept gifts to the state which meet certain conservation purposes and to submit the gifts to a review that requires the approval of the state's highest officials, acceptance of a gift by the Trust tends to establish the requisite clearly delineated governmental policy. However, if the Trust merely accepts such gifts without a review process, the requisite clearly delineated governmental policy is not established.

(C) Access. A limitation on public access to property subject to a donation under this paragraph (d)(4)(iii) shall not render the deduction nondeductible unless the conservation purpose of the donation would be undermined or frustrated without public access. For example, a donation pursuant to a governmental policy to protect the scenic character of land near a river requires visual access to the same extent as would a donation under paragraph (d)(4)(ii) of this section.

(iv) Significant public benefit—

(A) Factors. All contributions made for the preservation of open space must yield a significant public benefit. Public benefit will be evaluated by considering all pertinent facts and circumstances germane to the contribution. Factors germane to the evaluation of public benefit from one contribution may be irrelevant in determining public benefit from another



INFORMATION PROVIDED COURTESY OF:

ARTHUR H. HOWLAND  
& ASSOCIATES, P.C.

CIVIL ENGINEERS  
LAND SURVEYORS  
SOIL SCIENTISTS  
LAND PLANNERS

30 BRIDGE STREET, SUITE #3, NEW MILFORD, CT 06776 • PHONE (860) 354-9346 • FAX (860) 350-4419 • [www.ahhowland.com](http://www.ahhowland.com)  
Printed on Recycled Paper!

H:\Marketing\Conservation Easement Process\details\_of\_conservation\_purpose.doc

PAGE 3 OF 7 PAGES

© 2008-2009

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

contribution. No single factor will necessarily be determinative. Among the factors to be considered are:

- (1) The uniqueness of the property to the area;
  - (2) The intensity of land development in the vicinity of the property (both existing development and foreseeable trends of development);
  - (3) The consistency of the proposed open space use with public programs (whether Federal, state or local) for conservation in the region, including programs for outdoor recreation, irrigation or water supply protection, water quality maintenance or enhancement, flood prevention and control, erosion control, shoreline protection, and protection of land areas included in, or related to, a government approved master plan or land management area;
  - (4) The consistency of the proposed open space use with existing private conservation programs in the area, as evidenced by other land, protected by easement or fee ownership by organizations referred to in Sec. 1.170A-14(c)(1), in close proximity to the property;
  - (5) The likelihood that development of the property would lead to or contribute to degradation of the scenic, natural, or historic character of the area;
  - (6) The opportunity for the general public to use the property or to appreciate its scenic values;
  - (7) The importance of the property in preserving a local or regional landscape or resource that attracts tourism or commerce to the area;
  - (8) The likelihood that the donee will acquire equally desirable and valuable substitute property or property rights;
  - (9) The cost to the donee of enforcing the terms of the conservation restriction;
  - (10) The population density in the area of the property; and
  - (11) The consistency of the proposed open space use with a legislatively mandated program identifying particular parcels of land for future protection.
- (B) Illustrations. The preservation of an ordinary tract of land would not in and of itself yield a significant public benefit, but the preservation of ordinary land areas in conjunction with other factors that demonstrate significant public benefit or the preservation of a unique land area for public employment would yield a significant public benefit. For example, the preservation of a vacant downtown lot would not by itself yield a significant public benefit, but the preservation of the downtown lot as a public garden would, absent countervailing factors, yield a significant public benefit. The following are other examples of contributions which would, absent countervailing factors, yield a significant public benefit: The preservation of farmland pursuant to a state program for flood prevention and control; the preservation of a unique natural land formation for the enjoyment of the general public; the preservation of woodland along a public highway pursuant to a government program to preserve the appearance of the area so as to maintain the scenic view from the highway; and the preservation of a stretch of undeveloped property located between a public highway and the ocean in order to maintain the scenic ocean view from the highway.
- (v) Limitation. A deduction will not be allowed for the preservation of open space under section 170(h)(4)(A)(iii), if the terms of the easement permit a degree of intrusion or future development that would interfere with the essential scenic quality of the land or with the governmental conservation policy that is being furthered by the donation. See Sec. 1.170A-14(e)(2) for rules relating to inconsistent use.
- (vi) Relationship of requirements—



INFORMATION PROVIDED COURTESY OF:

**ARTHUR H. HOWLAND  
& ASSOCIATES, P.C.**

CIVIL ENGINEERS  
LAND SURVEYORS  
SOIL SCIENTISTS  
LAND PLANNERS

30 BRIDGE STREET, SUITE #3, NEW MILFORD, CT 06776 • PHONE (860) 354-9346 • FAX (860) 350-4419 • [www.ahhowland.com](http://www.ahhowland.com)  
Printed on Recycled Paper!

PAGE 4 OF 7 PAGES

© 2008-2009

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

(A) Clearly delineated governmental policy and significant public benefit. Although the requirements of ``clearly delineated governmental policy'' and ``significant public benefit'' must be met independently, for purposes of this section the two requirements may also be related. The more specific the governmental policy with respect to the particular site to be protected, the more likely the governmental decision, by itself, will tend to establish the significant public benefit associated with the donation. For example, while a statute in State X permitting preferential assessment for farmland is, by definition, governmental policy, it is distinguishable from a state statute, accompanied by appropriations, naming the X River as a valuable resource and articulating the legislative policy that the X River and the relatively natural quality of its surrounding be protected. On these facts, an open space easement on farmland in State X would have to demonstrate additional factors to establish ``significant public benefit.'' The specificity of the legislative mandate to protect the X River, however, would by itself tend to establish the significant public benefit associated with an open space easement on land fronting the X River.

(B) Scenic enjoyment and significant public benefit. With respect to the relationship between the requirements of ``scenic enjoyment'' and ``significant public benefit,'' since the degrees of scenic enjoyment offered by a variety of open space easements are subjective and not as easily delineated as are increasingly specific levels of governmental policy, the significant public benefit of preserving a scenic view must be independently established in all cases.

(C) Donations may satisfy more than one test. In some cases, open space easements may be both for scenic enjoyment and pursuant to a clearly delineated governmental policy. For example, the preservation of a particular scenic view identified as part of a scenic landscape inventory by a rigorous governmental review process will meet the tests of both paragraphs (d)(4)(i)(A) and (d)(4)(i)(B) of this section.



INFORMATION PROVIDED COURTESY OF:

**ARTHUR H. HOWLAND  
& ASSOCIATES, P.C.**

CIVIL ENGINEERS  
LAND SURVEYORS  
SOIL SCIENTISTS  
LAND PLANNERS

30 BRIDGE STREET, SUITE #3, NEW MILFORD, CT 06776 • PHONE (860) 354-9346 • FAX (860) 350-4419 • [www.ahhowland.com](http://www.ahhowland.com)  
Printed on Recycled Paper!

PAGE 5 OF 7 PAGES

© 2008-2009

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

## §1.170A-14(F) – EXAMPLES.

The provisions of this section relating to conservation purposes may be illustrated by the following examples.

Example 1. State S contains many large tract forests that are desirable recreation and scenic areas for the general public. The forests' scenic values attract millions of people to the State. However, due to the increasing intensity of land development in State S, the continued existence of forestland parcels greater than 45 acres is threatened. J grants a perpetual easement on a 100-acre parcel of forestland that is part of one of the State's scenic areas to a qualifying organization. The easement imposes restrictions on the use of the parcel for the purpose of maintaining its scenic values. The restrictions include a requirement that the parcel be maintained forever as open space devoted exclusively to conservation purposes and wildlife protection, and that there be no commercial, industrial, residential, or other development use of such parcel. The law of State S recognizes a limited public right to enter private land, particularly for recreational pursuits, unless such land is posted or the landowner objects. The easement specifically restricts the landowner from posting the parcel, or from objecting, thereby maintaining public access to the parcel according to the custom of the State. J's parcel provides the opportunity for the public to enjoy the use of the property and appreciate its scenic values. Accordingly, J's donation qualifies for a deduction under this section.

Example 2. A qualified conservation organization owns Greenacre in fee as a nature preserve. Greenacre contains a high quality example of a tall grass prairie ecosystem. Farmacre, an operating farm, adjoins Greenacre and is a compatible buffer to the nature preserve. Conversion of Farmacre to a more intense use, such as a housing development, would adversely affect the continued use of Greenacre as a nature preserve because of human traffic generated by the development. The owner of Farmacre donates an easement preventing any future development on Farmacre to the qualified conservation organization for conservation purposes. Normal agricultural uses will be allowed on Farmacre. Accordingly, the donation qualifies for a deduction under this section.

Example 3. H owns Greenacre, a 900-acre parcel of woodland, rolling pasture, and orchards on the crest of a mountain. All of Greenacre is clearly visible from a nearby national park. Because of the strict enforcement of an applicable zoning plan, the highest and best use of Greenacre is as a subdivision of 40-acre tracts. H wishes to donate a scenic easement on Greenacre to a qualifying conservation organization, but H would like to reserve the right to subdivide Greenacre into 90-acre parcels with no more than one single-family home allowable on each parcel. Random building on the property, even as little as one home for each 90 acres, would destroy the scenic character of the view. Accordingly, no deduction would be allowable under this section.

Example 4. Assume the same facts as in example (3), except that not all of Greenacre is visible from the park and the deed of easement allows for limited cluster development of no more than five nine-acre clusters (with four houses on each cluster) located in areas generally not visible from the national park and subject to site and building plan approval by the donee organization in order to preserve the scenic view from the park. The donor and the donee have already identified sites where limited cluster development would not be visible from the park or would not impair the view. Owners of homes in the clusters will not have any rights with respect to the surrounding Greenacre property that are not also available to the general public. Accordingly, the donation qualifies for a deduction under this section.



INFORMATION PROVIDED COURTESY OF:

**ARTHUR H. HOWLAND  
& ASSOCIATES, P.C.**

CIVIL ENGINEERS  
LAND SURVEYORS  
SOIL SCIENTISTS  
LAND PLANNERS

30 BRIDGE STREET, SUITE #3, NEW MILFORD, CT 06776 • PHONE (860) 354-9346 • FAX (860) 350-4419 • [www.ahhowland.com](http://www.ahhowland.com)  
Printed on Recycled Paper!

PAGE 6 OF 7 PAGES

© 2008–2009

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

Example 5. In order to protect State S's declining open space that is suited for agricultural use from increasing development pressure that has led to a marked decline in such open space, the Legislature of State S passed a statute authorizing the purchase of ``agricultural land development rights" on open acreage. Agricultural land development rights allow the State to place agricultural preservation restrictions on land designated as worthy of protection in order to preserve open space and farm resources. Agricultural preservation restrictions prohibit or limit construction or placement of buildings except those used for agricultural purposes or dwellings used for family living by the farmer and his family and employees; removal of mineral substances in any manner that adversely affects the land's agricultural potential; or other uses detrimental to retention of the land for agricultural use. Money has been appropriated for this program and some landowners have in fact sold their ``agricultural land development rights" to State S. K owns and operates a small dairy farm in State S located in an area designated by the Legislature as worthy of protection. K desires to preserve his farm for agricultural purposes in perpetuity. Rather than selling the development rights to State S, K grants to a qualified organization an agricultural preservation restriction on his property in the form of a conservation easement. K reserves to himself, his heirs and assigns the right to manage the farm consistent with sound agricultural and management practices. The preservation of K's land is pursuant to a clearly delineated governmental policy of preserving open space available for agricultural use, and will yield a significant public benefit by preserving open space against increasing development pressures.

To learn more about the conservation easement process, contact Arthur H. Howland & Associates, P.C. at (860) 354-9346 and ask for Paul Szymanski. You can also visit us on the web at <http://ahhowland.com>.

*Arthur H. Howland & Associates, P.C., specializes in assisting donors step-by-step with the conservation easement process offering a full array of civil engineering, land surveying, land planning, soil science and consulting services.*

*One should always consult their attorney or accountant for legal or tax advice.*



INFORMATION PROVIDED COURTESY OF:

**ARTHUR H. HOWLAND  
& ASSOCIATES, P.C.**

CIVIL ENGINEERS  
LAND SURVEYORS  
SOIL SCIENTISTS  
LAND PLANNERS

30 BRIDGE STREET, SUITE #3, NEW MILFORD, CT 06776 • PHONE (860) 354-9346 • FAX (860) 350-4419 • [www.ahhowland.com](http://www.ahhowland.com)  
Printed on Recycled Paper!

PAGE 7 OF 7 PAGES

© 2008-2009

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

H:\Marketing\Conservation Easement Process\details\_of\_conservation\_purpose.doc